

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

-	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/083,582	02/27/2002	Naoya Nakanishi	NOK-014-006	(5908)
<i>'</i>		& KUBOVCIK	- , ·	EXAMÎNER ALEJANDRO, RAYMOND	
	WAŞHINĞTO	DN, DC 20006	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1745 DATE MAILED: 08/29/2003	PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A 5-4				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Comments of the comments of th	10/083,582	NAKANISHI ET AL.				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Raymond Alejandro	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 F	ebruary 2002					
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 4	93 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirement.					
··· <u> </u>						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10\M The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2003 in/are, s\M accepted on b\M abjected to by the Examiner.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	,				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No. <u>09/636,506</u> .				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/083,582

Art Unit: 1745

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

1. Applicant's claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 120 is acknowledged. However, the status of nonprovisional parent application(s) (whether patented or abandoned) should also be included.

## Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 02/27/02 was considered by the examiner.

# Drawings

3. The sheets of drawings filed on 02/27/02 have been accepted.

#### Specification

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the status of nonprovisional parent application(s) (whether patented or abandoned) should also be included.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,582 Page 3

Art Unit: 1745

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 7. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: it is noted that claim 1 recites the following: "a negative electrode current collector plate (3) being joined to an edge of the negative electrode (21)" (lines 11-13) and "the copper layer (31) being welded to the edge of the negative electrode (21)" (lines 4-5, page 87), accordingly, it is uncertain whether or not the metal layer itself is also intended to be joined or in contact with the edge of the negative electrode because claim 1 first recites that "the negative electrode current collector plate" per se comprises both layers (i.e. the copper layer and the metal layer or "a plurality of layers including a copper layer....and a metal layer") and is joined to an edge of the negative electrode. Therefore, since the current collector including both layers appears to be joined to the negative electrode edge, the structural relationship among the layers and the negative electrode edge is still uncertain. Further clarification is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,582

Art Unit: 1745

# Allowable Subject Matter

9. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the instant claims are allowable over the prior art of record, as the prior art does not disclose what is instantly claimed, particularly: the nonaqueous electrolyte secondary cell characterized in that the negative electrode current collector plate comprises the specific plurality of layers and satisfies the particular structural relationship as recited in claim 1. For example, Nakamaru et al'389 does not disclose this feature.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

- 10. Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 11. Claims 2-14 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Alejandro whose telephone number is (703) 306-3326. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:30 am - 7:00 pm).

Art Unit: 1745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on (703) 308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Raymond Alejandro

Examiner

Art Unit 1745